

# Carleman Estimates for Nonhomogeneous Parabolic Problems

Jean-Pierre Puel

Laboratoire de Mathématiques de Versailles,  
Université de Versailles Saint-Quentin,  
45 avenue des Etats Unis, 78035 Versailles Cedex, France  
jppuel@math.uvsq.fr

Work in collaboration with Oleg Yu. Imanuvilov and Masahiro Yamamoto

CIRM February 2009

# Outline

- 1 Introduction. Statement of the results
  - Stokes system
  - Elliptic case
  - Parabolic case
- 2 Application to the Stokes system
  - Formulation vorticity-velocity
  - Result for the Stokes system
- 3 Idea of the proof
  - Localization in space
  - Localization in time
  - Main problem

# Stokes system

The main goal is to obtain in a more direct way a global Carleman estimate for the Stokes system

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial y}{\partial t} - \Delta y + \nabla p &= f \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div} y &= 0 \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ y &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \times (0, T), \\ y(0) &= y_0.\end{aligned}$$

# Nonhomogeneous elliptic problems

Previous work (Imanuvilov-Puel, IMRN 2003) on nonhomogeneous elliptic problems.

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta y &= f_0 + \sum_{i=0}^N \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i} \text{ in } \Omega, \\ y &= g \text{ on } \Gamma, \end{aligned}$$

with  $f_0, f_i \in L^2(\Omega)$ , and  $g \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$ .

We take  $\omega$  a non empty open subset of  $\Omega$  and weights  $\psi$  and  $\beta$  such that

- $\psi \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $\psi > 0$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $\psi = 0$  on  $\Gamma$ .
- $|\nabla \psi| \geq c_0 > 0$  in  $\Omega \setminus \bar{\omega}$ .
- $\beta = e^{\lambda \psi}$ .

We obtain the following estimate

### Theorem

*There exists  $s_0 > 0$ ,  $\lambda_0 > 0$  and a constant  $C$  such that for every  $s \geq s_0$  for every  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$*

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{2s\beta} |\nabla y|^2 dx + s^2 \lambda^2 \int_{\Omega} \beta^2 e^{2s\beta} |y|^2 dx \leq$$

$$C(s^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{2s} \|g\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)}^2 + \frac{1}{s\lambda^2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{e^{2s\beta}}{\beta} |f_0|^2 dx + \sum_{i=1}^N s \int_{\Omega} \beta e^{2s\beta} |f_i|^2 dx$$

$$+ s^2 \lambda^2 \int_{\omega} \beta^2 e^{2s\beta} |y|^2 dx).$$

## Result for parabolic equations

Now we consider a general parabolic equation

$$Ly = \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} - \Delta y + (\text{l.o.t.}) = f_0 + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_j} \text{ in } Q = \Omega \times (0, T),$$

$$y = g \text{ on } \Gamma \times (0, T),$$

$$y_0 = y_0$$

where  $f_0, f_j \in L^2(\Omega \times (0, T))$  and

$g \in H^{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma \times (0, T)) = H^{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)$ . ( $y_0$  is not important).

In order to give the result we need to define the correct weights. If  $l(t) = t(T - t)$  we define for  $k \geq 2$

$$\varphi(x, t) = \frac{e^{\lambda\psi(x)}}{l^k(t)}$$

and

$$\alpha(x, t) = \frac{e^{\lambda\psi(x)} - e^{2\lambda|\psi|_\infty}}{l^k(t)}.$$

## Theorem

There exists  $\hat{\lambda}$  such that for every  $\lambda \geq \hat{\lambda}$ , there exists  $C > 0$  and there exists  $s_0(\lambda)$  such that for every  $s \geq s_0(\lambda)$ , if  $y \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$  with  $\frac{\partial y}{\partial t} \in L^2(0, T; H^{-1}(\Omega))$  is solution of the parabolic problem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{s} \int_Q \frac{1}{\varphi} e^{2s\alpha} |\nabla y|^2 dxdt + s \int_Q \varphi e^{2s\alpha} |y|^2 dxdt \leq \\ & C(s^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\varphi^{-\frac{1}{4}} e^{s\alpha} g\|_{H^{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)})^2 + s^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\varphi^{-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{k}} e^{s\alpha} g\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{s^2} \int_Q \frac{e^{2s\alpha}}{\varphi^2} |f_0|^2 dxdt + \sum_{j=1}^N \int_Q e^{2s\alpha} |f_j|^2 dxdt \\ & + s \int_{Q_\omega} \varphi e^{2s\alpha} |y|^2 dxdt, \end{aligned}$$

where  $Q_\omega = \omega \times (0, T)$ .

## Stokes system written in vorticity velocity

Usual functional spaces for the Stokes system

$$H = \{v \in (L^2(\Omega))^N, \operatorname{div} v = 0, (v \cdot \nu)_{/\Gamma} = 0\}$$

$$V = \{v \in (H_0^1(\Omega))^N, \operatorname{div} v = 0\}.$$

For  $f \in L^2(0, T; (L^2(\Omega))^N)$  and  $y_0 \in V$  let  $y$  be the solution of the Stokes system

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial t} - \Delta y + \nabla p = f \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, T),$$

$$\operatorname{div} y = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, T),$$

$$y = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \times (0, T),$$

$$y(0) = y_0.$$

Then  $y \in L^2(0, T; (H^2(\Omega))^N) \cap V$ ,  $\frac{\partial y}{\partial t} \in L^2(0, T; (L^2(\Omega))^N)$  and  $p \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega))$ .

Defining  $w = \operatorname{curl} y$ , we have as  $\operatorname{div} y = 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} - \Delta w &= \operatorname{curl} f \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \Delta y(t) &= \operatorname{curl} w(t) \text{ in } \Omega, \text{ a.e. in } t \in (0, T), \\ y(t) &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \text{ a.e. in } t \in (0, T).\end{aligned}$$

Apply successively the parabolic estimate for  $w$  with parameter  $s$ , then the elliptic estimate for  $y$  with parameter  $\frac{s}{l^k(t)}$ . We notice that

$$\begin{aligned}\beta &= e^{\lambda\psi}, \quad \varphi = \frac{\beta}{l^k(t)}, \\ \alpha &= \frac{\beta - e^{2\lambda|\psi|_{L^\infty}}}{l^k(t)} = \frac{\beta}{l^k(t)} - C(t).\end{aligned}$$

Parabolic estimate :

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{s} \int_Q \frac{e^{2s\alpha}}{\varphi} |\nabla w|^2 dxdt + s \int_Q \varphi e^{2s\alpha} |w|^2 dxdt \leq \\ & C(s^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\varphi^{-\frac{1}{4}} e^{s\alpha} w\|_{H^{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)}^2 + s^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\varphi^{-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{k}} e^{s\alpha} w\|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2 \\ & + \int_Q e^{2s\alpha} |f|^2 dxdt + s \int_{Q_w} \varphi e^{2s\alpha} |w|^2 dxdt). \end{aligned}$$

On the boundary, as  $y = 0$  we have

$$|w| \sim \left| \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu} \right|.$$

Elliptic estimate : for a.e.  $t \in (0, T)$  ,

$$\frac{s^2}{l^{2k}(t)} \lambda^2 \int_{\Omega} \beta^2 e^{2s\alpha} |y|^2 dx \leq$$

$$C \left( \frac{s}{l^k(t)} \int_{\Omega} \beta e^{2s\alpha} |w|^2 dx + \frac{s^2}{l^{2k}(t)} \lambda^2 \int_{\omega} \varphi^2 e^{2s\alpha} |y|^2 dx \right).$$

Now we fix  $\lambda$  and integrate in time to obtain

$$s^2 \int_Q \varphi^2 e^{2s\alpha} |y|^2 dx dt \leq$$

$$C \left( s \int_Q \varphi e^{2s\alpha} |w|^2 dx dt + s^2 \int_{Q_{\omega}} \varphi^2 e^{2s\alpha} |y|^2 dx dt \right).$$

Combining the estimates on  $w$  and on  $y$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{s} \int_Q \frac{e^{2s\alpha}}{\varphi} |\nabla w|^2 dxdt + s \int_Q \varphi e^{2s\alpha} |w|^2 dxdt + s^2 \int_Q \varphi^2 e^{2s\alpha} |y|^2 dxdt \\ & \leq C(s^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\varphi^{-\frac{1}{4}} e^{s\alpha} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu}\|_{H^{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)}^2 + s^{-\frac{1}{2}} |\varphi^{-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{k}} e^{s\alpha} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu}|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2 \\ & + \int_Q e^{2s\alpha} |f|^2 dxdt + s \int_{Q_w} \varphi e^{2s\alpha} |w|^2 dxdt + s^2 \int_{Q_w} \varphi^2 e^{2s\alpha} |y|^2 dxdt). \end{aligned}$$

We need to estimate the first two terms in the right hand side by a regularity argument.

$$\hat{\alpha}(t) = \alpha(t)_{/\Gamma} = \frac{1 - e^{2\lambda|\psi|_\infty}}{l^k(t)}.$$

Define

$$(u, q) = l(t)(ye^{s\hat{\alpha}(t)}, pe^{s\hat{\alpha}(t)}).$$

We have

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + \nabla q = l(t)e^{s\hat{\alpha}(t)}f + s\hat{\alpha}'(t)u + l'(t)e^{s\hat{\alpha}(t)}y \text{ in } Q,$$

$$\operatorname{div} u = 0 \text{ in } Q,$$

$$u = 0 \text{ on } \Sigma,$$

$$u(0) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega.$$

By regularity on Stokes equation we have

$$\|u\|_{H^{1,2}(Q)}^2 \leq C(\|l(t)e^{s\hat{\alpha}(t)}f\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + s^2\|\frac{l'(t)}{l^{k+1}(t)}u\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + \|l'(t)e^{s\hat{\alpha}(t)}y\|_{L^2(Q)}^2).$$

As  $l'(t)$  is bounded,  $\varphi \sim \frac{1}{l^k(t)}$  and  $\hat{\alpha} \leq \alpha$ , we obtain

$$\|u\|_{H^{1,2}(Q)}^2 \leq C(|e^{s\alpha} f|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + s^2 |\varphi e^{s\alpha} y|_{L^2(Q)}^2).$$

On the other we can show that

$$\left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)}^2 \leq C \|u\|_{H^{1,2}(Q)}^2$$

so that, as

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = l(t) e^{s\hat{\alpha}(t)} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu} \sim \varphi^{-\frac{1}{k}} e^{s\hat{\alpha}} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu},$$

we have

$$s^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \varphi^{-\frac{1}{k}} e^{s\hat{\alpha}} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu} \right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)}^2 \leq C (s^{-\frac{1}{2}} |e^{s\alpha} f|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + s^{\frac{3}{2}} |\varphi e^{s\alpha} y|_{L^2(Q)}^2).$$

Now we have, taking

$$k = 8$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & s^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \varphi^{-\frac{1}{4}} e^{s\alpha} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu} \right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)}^2 + s^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left| \varphi^{-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{k}} e^{s\alpha} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu} \right|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2 \leq \\
 & C s^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \varphi^{-\frac{1}{8}} e^{s\hat{\alpha}} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu} \right\|_{H^{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)}^2 \leq \\
 & C (s^{-\frac{1}{2}} |e^{s\alpha} f|_{L^2(Q)}^2 + s^{\frac{3}{2}} |\varphi e^{s\alpha} y|_{L^2(Q)}^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

## Result for the Stokes system

Combining all these estimates, we obtain a Carleman estimate for the Stokes system.

### Theorem

*Let  $T$  be positive and  $\omega$  be a non empty open subset of  $\Omega$ . There exists  $s_0 > 0$  and a constant  $C > 0$  such that if  $y$  is the solution of the Stokes system with right hand side  $f \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$  and if we write  $w = \text{curl } y$ , then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{s} \int_Q \frac{e^{2s\alpha}}{\varphi} |\nabla w|^2 dxdt + s \int_Q \varphi e^{2s\alpha} |w|^2 dxdt \\ & + s^2 \int_Q \varphi^2 e^{2s\alpha} |y|^2 dxdt \leq C \left( \int_Q e^{2s\alpha} |f|^2 dxdt \right) \\ & + C \left( s^2 \int_{Q_\omega} \varphi^2 e^{2s\alpha} |y|^2 dxdt + s \int_{Q_\omega} \varphi e^{2s\alpha} |w|^2 dxdt \right). \end{aligned}$$

## Localization in space

Covering of  $\Omega$  :

$$\Omega \subset \Omega_0 \bigcup_{i=1}^I B(\tilde{x}^i, \delta),$$

$$\Omega_0 \subset \Omega, \omega \subset \Omega_0, \tilde{x}^i \in \Gamma, B(\tilde{x}^i, \delta) \cap \omega = \emptyset.$$

Corresponding partition of unity :  $(e_i)_{i=0}^I$ .

$y_i = y \cdot e_i$  : solution of similar problem with compact support in space in  $\Omega_0$  or in  $B(\tilde{x}^i, \delta)$ .

Case  $i = 0$  : Already done in Imanuvilov-Yamamoto.

Case  $i = 1, \dots, I$  : We drop the index  $i$ . In  $B(\tilde{x}, \delta)$ , we have

$|\nabla \psi| \neq 0$  and we can suppose  $|\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_N}| \neq 0$ .

New coordinates :

$$\hat{x}_N = \psi(x_1, \dots, x_N), \hat{x}_i = x_i - \tilde{x}_i, i = 1, \dots, N - 1,$$

$$\hat{y}(t, \hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_N) = y(t, x_1, \dots, x_N).$$

We now have with unambiguous notations ( $B'$  is a ball in  $\mathbf{R}^{N-1}$ ) :

$$\hat{\varphi}(\hat{x}) = e^{\lambda \hat{x}_N} \text{ (new weight),}$$

$$\hat{L}\hat{y} = \frac{\partial \hat{y}}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial^2 \hat{y}}{\partial \hat{x}_N^2} - \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} a_{Nj} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{y}}{\partial \hat{x}_N \partial \hat{x}_j} - \hat{A}\hat{y} + l.o.t. = \hat{f}_0 + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial \hat{f}_j}{\partial \hat{x}_j},$$

$$\hat{y}(t, \hat{x}', 0) = \hat{g}(t, \hat{x}', 0),$$

$$\text{Supp } \hat{y} \subset (0, T) \times B'(0, \delta) \times [0, \delta],$$

$$\hat{A}\hat{y} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} \hat{a}_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{y}}{\partial \hat{x}_i \partial \hat{x}_j}.$$

We drop the  $\hat{\cdot}$  notation. We still have an ellipticity condition

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbf{R}^N, \quad \xi_N^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} a_{Nj} \xi_N \xi_j + \sum_{j,k=1}^N a_{jk} \xi_j \xi_k \geq \gamma |\xi|^2.$$

## Localization in time

Take  $\tilde{\psi} \in C_0^\infty(\] \frac{1}{2}, 2[)$  such that  $\forall s, \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \tilde{\psi}(2^{-j}s) = 1$ . Define

$$\mu_j(t) = \tilde{\psi}\left(\frac{2^{-j}}{l^k(t)}\right),$$

$$w_j = \mu_j w = \mu_j e^{s\alpha} y.$$

Notice that on  $Supp(\mu_j)$ , we have  $2^{-j-1} < l^k(t) < 2^{-j+1}$ . We then write

$$L(t, x, D_t, D_x + is\nabla_x \alpha) w_j = e^{s\alpha} L(\mu_j(t) y)$$

so that

$$L(t, x, D_t, D_x + is\nabla_x \alpha) w_j = \frac{\partial \mu_j}{\partial t} w + F \text{ in } Supp(\mu_j) \times B'(0, \delta) \times ]0, \delta[$$

$$w_j(t, x', 0) = \mu_j(t) e^{s\alpha} g,$$

$$Supp(w_j) \subset G = Supp(\mu_j) \times B'(0, \delta) \times [0, \delta[,$$

$$F = e^{s\alpha} f_0 - \sum_{j=1}^N s \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x_j} e^{s\alpha} f_j - s \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} w + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial(e^{s\alpha} f_j)}{\partial x_j}.$$

Take  $t_j \in \text{Supp}(\mu_j)$  so that  $l^k(t_j) \sim 2^{-j}$  and define

$$\beta = \alpha l^k(t_j), \quad \tau = \frac{s}{l^k(t_j)}.$$

We have

$$L(t, x, D_t, D_x + i\tau \nabla_x \beta) w_j = \frac{\partial \mu_j}{\partial t} w + F \text{ in } \text{Supp}(\mu_j) \times B'(0, \delta) \times ]0, \delta[,$$

and it is enough to prove the following estimate : For  $\tau \geq \tau_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\tau} |\nabla w_j|_{L^2(G)}^2 + \tau |w_j|_{L^2(G)}^2 &\leq C(\tau^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|e^{s\alpha} g\|_{H^{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}}(\Sigma)})^2 \\ &+ \tau^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{k}} |e^{s\alpha} g|_{L^2(\Sigma)}^2 + \frac{1}{\tau^2} \left| \frac{\partial \mu_j}{\partial t} w + e^{s\alpha} f_0 \right|_{L^2(G)}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^N |e^{s\alpha} f_j|_{L^2(G)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We drop the index  $j$ .

Principal symbol of  $L$  :

$$L_2(t, x, \xi, \tau) = i\xi_0 + \left(\xi_N + i \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x_N}\right)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} a_{Nj} \left(\xi_N + i \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x_N}\right) \zeta_j + \sum_{j,k=1}^{N-1} a_{jk} \zeta_j \zeta_k$$

where

$$\zeta = \xi + i \nabla_x \beta.$$

Roots in  $\xi_N$  :

$$\xi_N = -i\tau \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x_N} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} a_{Nj} \zeta_j \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} a_{Nj} \zeta_j \right)^2 - \left( i\xi_0 + \sum_{j,k=1}^{N-1} a_{jk} \zeta_j \zeta_k \right)}.$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_Z$

# Parabolic normalization

$$M(\xi', \tau) = (\xi_0^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \xi_j^4 + \tau^4)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

-Regularization of the square root near  $M = 0$

-Separation in two regions with corresponding partition of unity

$\chi_0, \chi_1$ :

- Region 0 : Neighborhood of  $\{M = 1, Z \in \mathbf{R}_+\}$ . Because of ellipticity, near the boundary we must have  $\tau \sim 1$  and  $\xi_j \sim 0, j = 0, \dots, N - 1$ .
- Region 1 : Outside this neighborhood with still  $M = 1$ .

Then extend  $\chi_k$  as a  $C^\infty$  function for  $M < 1$  and for  $M > 1$  by :

$$\chi_k(\xi', \tau) = \chi_k\left(\frac{\xi_0}{M^2}, \frac{\xi_1}{M}, \dots, \frac{\xi_{N-1}}{M}, \frac{\tau}{M}\right)$$

$$w_k = \chi_k(D', \tau)w.$$

## Factorization

We concentrate on  $w_1$  and drop the index 1.

$$r^+ = \tau \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x_N} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} a_{Nj} \zeta_j + i \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} a_{Nj} \zeta_j \right)^2 - \left( i \xi_0 + \sum_{j,k=1}^{N-1} a_{jk} \zeta_j \zeta_k \right)}$$

$$r^- = \tau \frac{\partial \beta}{\partial x_N} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} a_{Nj} \zeta_j - i \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} a_{Nj} \zeta_j \right)^2 - \left( i \xi_0 + \sum_{j,k=1}^{N-1} a_{jk} \zeta_j \zeta_k \right)}.$$

We have

$$\operatorname{Re}(r^-) \geq C.M, \quad -\operatorname{Re}(r^+) \geq C.M.$$

Corresponding operators :  $R^+$  and  $R^-$ .

$$L^+ = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_N} - R^+, \quad L^- = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_N} - R^-$$

$$Q = \frac{1}{2}(L^+ + L^{+*}) = Q^*, \quad P = \frac{1}{2}(L^+ - L^{+*}) = -P^*.$$

$$Lw = L^- . L^+ + Kw.$$

We write

$$L^+ w = z,$$

$$L^- z = F - Kw,$$

$$z(t, x', \delta) = 0.$$

$L^-$  is a “good” backward (in  $x_N$ ) operator. If

$$\|y\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2},1,\tau}}^2 = \|y\|_{H^{\frac{1}{2},1}}^2 + \tau^2 \|y\|_{L^2}^2$$

and

$$H^{-\frac{1}{2},-1,\tau} = (H^{\frac{1}{2},1,\tau})'$$

we have the first estimate (standard)

$$\|z\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(\|F\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2},-1,\tau}}^2 + \|Kw\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2},-1,\tau}}^2).$$

Second estimate :

$$L^+ w = Qw + Pw = z$$

so that

$$|Qw|_{L^2}^2 + |Pw|_{L^2}^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}(Qw, Pw)_{L^2} = |z|_{L^2}^2$$

$$|Qw|_{L^2}^2 + |Pw|_{L^2}^2 + ([Q, P]w, w)_{L^2} - 2\operatorname{Re}(Q(t, x', 0)h, h)_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^N)} = |z|_{L^2}^2$$

where  $h = w(t, x', 0) = e^{s\alpha} g$ .

We have  $\operatorname{Re}(\{Q, P\}) \geq C.M$  if  $Q = 0$  so that

$$|Qw|_{L^2}^2 + |Pw|_{L^2}^2 + ([Q, P]w, w)_{L^2} \geq C\|w\|_{H^{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \tau}}^2 - C|w|_{L^2}^2$$

-First case :  $\operatorname{Re}(Q(t, x', 0)h, h)_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^N)} \leq 0$ .

We see immediately that here we have

$$\|w\|_{H^{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, \tau}}^2 \leq |z|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(\|F\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}, -1, \tau}}^2 + \|Kw\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}, -1, \tau}}^2).$$

-Second case :  $Re(Q(t, x', 0)h, h)_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^N)} \geq 0$ . We then have

$$(1 + \tau)|h|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^N)}^2 \leq C||h||_{H^{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{R}^N)}^2$$

Then we can show that there exists a pair  $(p, v)$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} L^{+*}p &= (1 + \tau)w + v \\ \sqrt{1 + \tau} \int (|p|^2 + m_\tau^2|v|^2) dxdt + \int m_\tau^2|p(t, x', 0)|^2 dt dx' &\leq \\ &C(1 + \tau)^{\frac{3}{2}} \int |w|^2 dt dx \end{aligned}$$

where

$$m_\tau = 1 \text{ in } \text{Supp}w, \quad m_\tau = \frac{1}{(1 + \tau)} \text{ outside.}$$

This estimate is non standard but similar to what is done in the elliptic case (Imanuvilov-Puel).

Taking the scalar product with  $w$  we obtain (essentially)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1 + \tau)|w|_{L^2}^2 &= (w, L^{+*}p - v)_{L^2} = \\
 &= (L^+w, p)_{L^2} - (w, v)_{L^2} + (h, p(0))_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^N)} = \\
 &= (z, p)_{L^2} - (w, v)_{L^2} + (h, p(0))_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^N)} \leq \\
 &= |z|_{L^2}|p|_{L^2} + |h|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^N)}(1 + \tau)^{\frac{3}{4}}|w|_{L^2} + |m_\tau v|_{L^2}|w|_{L^2} \leq \\
 &= C\sqrt{(1 + \tau)}|w|_{L^2}(|z|_{L^2} + \frac{1}{(1 + \tau)^{\frac{1}{4}}}\|h\|_{H^{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{R}^N)} + |w|_{L^2})
 \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\sqrt{(1 + \tau)}|w|_{L^2} \leq C\left(\frac{1}{(1 + \tau)^{\frac{1}{4}}}\|h\|_{H^{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{R}^N)} + \|F\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{2}, -1, \tau}}^2 + |w|_{L^2}\right).$$

We end up with energy estimates for the problem in  $w$  and we have to put together all the estimates we have in different regions to obtain the desired Carleman estimate for the complete function  $w$ .